Panelist Reconvening Methodology

Below is a description of the criteria utilized to determine when panels reconvened during the CORE RFP scoring process and what specific sections and components were reviewed.

1. For Small, Large and Targeted Impact Tiers all proposals were reviewed for reconvening. For Medium Tier proposals only proposals with a score of 60 and higher were reviewed due to the number of proposals and competitiveness in that tier.

2. If total score differential for an application between panelists was 20% or more, then a proposal was reviewed during a reconvening. For those proposals specific sections where reviewed when:
   - If a section score had a 30% differential between panelists that section was reviewed by panelists. Within a section:
     ▪ All section components that have a 40% differential were reviewed.
     ▪ For any section that did not have a greater than 30% differential individual components were not reviewed.

3. If the total score differential is less than 20%, proposals were only reviewed at a reconvening when:
   - Specific sections had a 40% differential between panelists. Specific components were reviewed when:
     ▪ Components within a section had a 40% or greater differential between panelists.
     ▪ For any section that did not have a greater than 40% differential individual components were not reviewed.